Housing Task Force - Phase III Assignment #1

Montana Housing Development Successes and Challenges From ~2020 to Present

Example Table of Montana Housing Development Challenges* 1/16/2024

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
1 (Cheryl C.)	Hearthstone Apartments	Anaconda / Deer Lodge	Low Income / disability Housing	74	x	Hearthstone apartments is an income restricted property that provides 74 homes that older people and people with disabilities can afford to rent in Anaconda. The rehab was completed in July of 2022; it was delayed nearly eight months due to ongoing skilled labor shortages, delays from sporadic supply chain issues as a result of COVID, and experienced significant cost increases due to COVID.
2 (Cheryl C.)	Alpenglow Apartments	Anaconda / Deer Lodge	Low Income / disability Housing	38	x	Alpenglow apartments is an income restricted property that provides 38 homes that people can afford to rent in Anaconda. This new construction project was completed in May of 2021; it was delayed more than eight months due to ongoing skilled labor shortages, direct delays from worker quarantines, delays from sporadic supply chain issues as a result of COVID, and experienced significant cost increases due to COVID.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
3 (Mark E.)	Brewery District Site Concept	Bozeman / Gallatin	Mixed Use	70 (21 for sale, 49 for rent, 16 affordable)	2	Design effort required for preliminary approval from city precluded subsequent concept iteration. Early, conditional approval could have kept this project viable. Indemnification for cities (and their planning departments) may help support early or discretionary approvals. High water table increased costs for underground parking (required to meet code) to \$95,000 per parking stall.
4 (Mark E.)	Black Olive (2017)	Bozeman / Gallatin	Residential	47	0.25	Neighbors protesting this apartment building successfully challenged an obscure part of the parking requirements code, increasing required parking for planned number of units. Developer reconfigured 1- and 2-bedroom units into more-expensive 2- and 3-bedroom units to reduce total unit count from 52 to 47. No reduction in building mass, scale, etc.
5 (Joe M.)	Wheatridge (not approved)	Great Falls / Cascade County	Mixed Residential	Phase 1 - 37	Ph 1 - 20.98	Entitlement denied. Site issues (Stormwater)
6 (Joe M.)	Castlepines	Great Falls / Cascade County	Townhomes	26	1.66	Labor Shortages
7 (Joe M.)	Aurora	Great Falls / Cascade County	Multi-Family, Patio Style Apts	283	12.21	Labor Shortages

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
8 (Joe M.)	Arc	Great Falls / Cascade County	Multi-Family, Patio Style Apts	216	9.20	Labor Shortages
9 (Joe M.)	Meadowlark / South Park Additions	Great Falls / Cascade County	Single-family Residences	13 vacant lots	33	Soils/Geotech
10 (Mike S.) (Cheryl C.)	Trinity Villagio	Missoula / Missoula	Low Income Housing Multifamily, Permanent Support Housing with Services Center	~200	7.07	Construction Costs. There was a 30% increase in construction costs, quickly rising interest rates of 3%+, materials not being available for 6+ months. For example, it had problems with replacement elevators parts. Large urban communities (Seattle, Los Angeles, New York City, etc.) don't seem to have the same issues with supplies as rural communities. In the end, Trinity, due to supply chain problems such as the electrical gear and ongoing construction labor shortages that started even before the pandemic, was completed approximately six months later than it was scheduled to be completed.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
11 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Throughout Western MT	Manufactured home communities	25-50	х	76-3-504 MCA requires a <u>subdivision</u> <u>review</u> for all manufactured home communities and RV parks, even when units are rented on a single lot. No such requirement for multiple sites built single- family houses on a single lot. The law seems inconsistent.
12 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Missoula / Missoula	Multifamily	8-10	7,000 sq ft lot	The architect wants to build a small four story apartment building in a walkable neighborhood adjacent to downtown. Allowed under zoning, but MT Building Code requires a second staircase and this would reduce # of units, driving up the cost of the remaining ones. The National Fire Protection Assoc. model code allows four story buildings with one staircase. Other states/cities allow one staircase with 5-6 stories. Many historic buildings in Montana have just one staircase.
13 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Missoula / Missoula	Multifamily	4	~3,000 sq ft lot	The developer wants to build internal wall to split a three-bedroom unit into a two bedroom unit with a studio. Missoula's building codes do not currently permit this because the lot is too small. Original, unamended version of SB 323 (2023) would have legalized this.
14 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Missoula / Missoula	ADUs	∞	Existing city lots	Two attributes preventing more ADUs from coming online in Missoula:

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
						 Size restrictions. Currently, its 600 ft., means no ADUs for families. SB 528 (2023) could be tweaked to further relax local size restrictions. Mon-conforming building lots. ADUs are also not allowed on nonconforming lots.
15 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Missoula / Missoula	Multifamily	9	12,500 sq ft	A small infill development was delayed because <u>proposed rezoning</u> required a city council supermajority approval vote. This was / is triggered when 25% of surrounding neighbors object to the proposal. In this case, 27% objected to rezoning to allow for small increase in density. Parcel is currently empty except for a shed. Close to transit and Community Hospital.
16 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Philipsburg / Granite	Manufactured home communities	25	х	77-1-904 MCA authorizes commercial leases on school trust land. "Commercial" includes multifamily, but excludes "singlefamily residences." What about manufactured home communities?

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
17 (Mike S.) (Cheryl C.)	Crowley Flats	Lewistown / Fergus	Low Income Housing Multifamily	16	0.17	Construction Costs: This project witnessed 3a 0% increase in construction costs, quickly rising interest rates of 3%+, materials not being available for 6+ months. Crowley Flats targets residents that are income restricted to 60 percent Area Median Income or less (about \$16.90/hour in Fergus County). This project was completed in late spring 2022, but had to sit without power for three months due to delays in the delivery of specific electrical gear (supply chain).
18 (Cheryl C.)	Bluebunch Flats	Livingston / Park	Low Income Housing Multifamily	37	х	Bluebunch Flats is an income restricted property that provides 37 homes that people can afford to rent in Livingston. This historic adaptive re-use was completed in February of 2021; it was delayed nearly six months due to ongoing skilled labor shortages and direct delays due to worker quarantine.
19 (Mike S.)	Riverview Apartments	Big Sky / Gallatin- Madison	Low Income Housing Multifamily	25	1.09	Construction Costs. This project witnessed a 30% increase in construction costs, quickly rising interest rates of 3%+, 4 months + delay waiting for DEQ approval.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
20 (Mike S.) (Cheryl C.)	Junegrass Commons	Kalispell / Flathead	Low Income Multifamily	138	5.87	Construction Costs. This project witnessed a 30% increase in construction costs, quickly rising interest rates of 3%+, 4 months + delay waiting for DEQ approval. Junegrass Commons is currently under construction; construction started in May 2022. This project experienced major delays for electrical gear (supply chain). Electrical gear typically requires a three - six-month lead time and that timeframe was greatly exceeded. The project also faced a four-month delay in the start of construction because of delays caused by the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). DEQ's review of the infrastructure improvements, the plans and permit application for which were also being reviewed for compliance with all the regulations by the City of Kalispell, within whose jurisdiction the project lies, took approximately six months.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
21 (Joe M.)	Creekside Commons	Kalispell / Flathead	Multiplex, Affordable	31	1 acre	Labor is a significant and ongoing issue. This touches all aspects, from city staffing available to review/ inspect to shortages of tradespeople to move the project along whether it be through design and permitting or the actual construction. Supplies and Materials in 2023 is improving over 2021-2022 but there are still problem areas. Electrical service gear is still extremely difficult. We are ordering this equipment 6 months prior to turning in for building permit and still anxious about it's arrival. Shipping delivery date estimates can between 6-12 months and often change at the last minute. Interest Rates are of course taking up more and more of our overall development budget. A win here this project has a below market loan from the Multifamily Loan Program at 3.129% for 40 years. The projects construction lender was also able secure funds from the Board of Investments Linked Deposit Program which brought our construction loan rate down by 1.70%.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
						General Comment: As a multifamily developer that works all around the state, we've seen a wide variety of "impact fees" charged by various municipalities on new construction projects. These amounts can vary greatly from town to town. The impact fees are for things like water, sewer, storm sewer, police, fire, transportation, parks. Some towns don't charge any of these fees, most charge something, and several we've encountered are as high as \$6,000 per new multifamily dwelling unit. These impact fees are on top of requiring the developer to pay for and install, in some cases, water, sewer, sidewalks, roads etc. that are then given to the city as public infrastructure. Through observation, it seems that building permit fees are regulated by the state, however, cities often also add a "design review fee" which can be up to as much as the building permit fee.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
22 (Joe M.)	Carter Commons	Great Falls / Cascade	Multiplex, Affordable	25	1 acre	Labor and Materials: Similar case to above, although availability of labor seems better than Kalispell/ Flathead Interest Rate: Similar to above the project secured a below market loan through the Coal Trust Multifamily Homes Loan Program at 3.9725% for 40 years.
23 (Mike S.)	Sunshine Village, Broadview East Apartments and Broadview West Apartments	Great Falls / Cascade	Low Income Multifamily	92	2.45	Construction Costs. This project saw a 30% increase is construction costs, quickly rising interest rates of 3%+.
24 (Mike S.)	RidgeWater	Polson / Lake	Multi-family	40	х	Project required rules deviation and still waiting for DEQ approval even though Polson agreed to DEQ-contracted reviewer. Polson may be example of a smaller rural community impacted negatively from new zoning requirements.
25 (Joe M.)	NeighborWorks	Great Falls / Cascade	Apartments	x	x	Loss of Local Affordable Apartments We have looked at the purchase of several large apartments in order to maintain their long-term affordability, but those types of properties are being sold to out of state investors. Some who want to maintain affordability (which is good), but others who are more interested in turning the biggest profit and eliminating any

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
						income restrictions or rent limits. All fair, but the more of these that lose affordability, the more pressure it puts on all systems for those needing stable rents, use federal Section 8 vouchers, or are on fixed incomes. We have been looking for smaller properties and local owners who want the property to stay local. We hope to close soon on the first of this type an 8-plex called Ulmer Square in a critical neighborhood in need of revitalization. These issues have accelerated since COVID, i.e. people looking to "buy Montana" and more so in Great Falls due to our long-time affordability that is slipping as we are now "discovered". We are still looking for other properties in the downtown area to buy and maintain or buy and renovate for affordable housing.
26 (Joe M.)	NeighborWorks	Great Falls / Cascade	In-Fill Homes	X	X	In-Fill Homes Developing in-fill housing in our downtown area has been very challenging due to all of the above issues compounded by the inflated cost of homes. In the past, we would be able to purchase a blighted property for approximately \$20k and have the boarded up home removed and build a new two- or three-bedroom home to sell

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
						the best market. To do this in the past we would receive CDBG or HOME funds. That makes it work for us to cover any loss and
						keep homes affordable. Other cities would only dream of being able to do this for their city. Since COVID, we are still
						doing in-fill homes but now the price is up to \$240k. I believe the very top of what
						someone under 80% AMI could afford with a deferred HOME loan from NWGF. The issue is now the <u>cost of a lot</u> as well
						as the <u>cost of construction</u> and foundations in our <u>challenging soils</u> . I am
						concerned that people and out of town/state investors will hold these
						blighted and boarded up homes longer and continue to ask for much higher prices. This will continue to chill building
						new homes on these lots. In-fill homes could and should be a huge strategy for us
						and the city. Existing city services and infrastructure are there and become great
						opportunities for first time home buyers. Many of the homes that are not blighted, but in pood of reposition are being sold.
						but in need of renovation are being sold, given only modest updates and turned into rental homes. Adding more homes to
						the rental market isn't always bad, but

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
						the rents are going higher than a mortgage due to the low stock and it removes a potential homeowner from our community. We need more homeowners in our downtown north and south side. The value to a community is enormous and we already have a very large rental population in our most challenging areas of the city.
27 (Joe M.)	NeighborWorks / Meriwether Crossing	Great Falls / Cascade	Single Family	83	x	Meriwether Crossing Project These lots were completed in 2020. Our single-family development for our Owner-Built homes with USDA-RD. We developed 83 lots beside our Rockcress Commons project. We worked with local engineers, Woith Engineering, to develop the build ready lots. Many of the issues we encountered at Rockcress have been ongoing for Meriwether – both in the infrastructure and building the homes. The takeaway from this project is the cost to create the build ready lots and keep them affordable; not only those homes we build, but for the market rate lots to create \$300k to \$350k homes. We need more funds to help with the rising cost of infrastructure. For profit home building can't find build ready lots and when they do the cost of the home puts it out of

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
						range for so many hard-working middle-class buyers. These builders are going into the county where it is easier to build and are building much larger and more expensive homes. We do not have new homes for families to purchase as young middle-class first-time homebuyers or families that are moving up to a bigger home which opens more housing stock for our labor force to become 1 st time homebuyers. We are currently trying to develop a similar property of 124 acres. This will be a much bigger project and a multi-year phased development, but the challenges may make it impossible. The loss to our community is not only the homes that NWGF builds, but the opportunity to build homes at a variety of different price points, styles, density, home types and small commercial opportunities. A private developer might see this land as an opportunity to build large homes on three to five acres of land. Limiting our ability to provide more housing and boxing in the city if they do not elect to annex in.
28 (Joe M.)	NeighborWorks / Rockcress Commons	Great Falls / Cascade	Apartments	х	х	Rockcress Commons Project Completed in late 2020. Even with extreme delays, harsh weather, labor

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
						shortages and COVID, we successfully completed the project. We had a lot of value engineering prior to building to make the budget work and our partner out of Washington was able to infuse their own funds to guarantee the project. Not all for-profit developers would be so willing to make sure a project gets off the ground to create affordable housing. We need more incentives for those groups to develop affordable homes. We see now more are going for market rate because the affordable programs that use tax credits don't always pencil out with the rent levels needed. This is a strong reason why we need a state housing tax credit to compliment the federal credits Montana uses. As I said this was prior to COVID and all the same issues of building costs and labor shortages are only more difficult now with supply issues, inflation, and the labor shortage is only worse with so many building projects in the region.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
29 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Whitefish / Flathead	Multifamily	x	Existing city lots	Approval of multifamily project conditioned on Whitefish's "Architectural Review Committee" approving the color of the windows and lighting sconces. SB 407 (2023) abolished these design review boards, and prohibited design rules that are not "necessary to protect public health or safety." Law may need to be tightened to ensure compliance.
30 (Danny T.)	<no name=""></no>	Whitefish / Flathead	Multifamily	X	X	The multifamily project delayed because Whitefish's "Architectural Review Committee" did not like the look of the dormers and wanted to approve the landscaping plan. SB 407 (2023) abolished these design review boards, and prohibited design rules that are not "necessary to protect public health or safety." Law may need to be tightened to ensure compliance.

# (submitter)	Development Name	Location (city and county)	Type of Development (single-family, multiplex subdivision, other)	Number of Units (quantity)	Permit Footprint (acres)	Attributes to Study Further (list of key factors)
31 (Nathan D.)	Alpenglow Phase II	Whitefish / Flathead	Multifamily	18	0.61	We received a preliminary approval letter from the Coal Trust Multifamily Homes program for a loan of almost \$4.4M, which is 95% of our project costs. The challenge is that in order for us to cover the debt and meet the 1.15 debt coverage ratio, we'll only be able to take ~\$3.2M in a loan, leaving about \$1.4M that we need to fill. For some of the other organizations or businesses with more capital or assets this isn't as big of an issue. For us, as this is our first project, we need to raise this additional capital through other grants, donations, etc. The state grants that could help raise significant funds such as HOME and CDBG have low-income requirements (usually under 60% AMI), which isn't the demographic the CTMH is targeting nor who we are looking to serve with this project. Other applicants are pairing tax credits with the CTMH, but again, that is for lower income households.

^{*}Challenge is defined broadly in terms of relative time to completion, meeting the budget, resource availability and/or other criteria.

<end of document>